COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2136-05

Bill No.: HCS for HB 964

Subject: Childhood Lead Testing Program in the Department of Health.

Type: # Corrected Date: May 8, 2001

#Corrected DOH and DHT information.

FISCAL SUMMARY

I ISOME SOMMARY							
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Insurance Dedicated Fund	\$10,000	\$0	\$0				
Childhood Lead Fund	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown				
General Revenue #	Unknown to exceeding (\$534,053)	Unknown to exceeding (\$609,177)	Unknown to exceeding (\$624,856)				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds #	Unknown to exceeding (\$524,053)	Unknown to exceeding (\$609,177)	Unknown to exceeding (\$624,856)				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	ND AFFECTED FY 2002 FY 2003 H						
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds*	\$0	\$0	\$0				

* Revenues and expenditures unknown but exceeding \$60,000 annually and net to \$0.

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS						
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004			
Local Government	(Unknown exceeding \$100,000)	(Unknown exceeding \$100,000)	(Unknown exceeding \$100,000)			

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 12 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the **Department of Conservation** and **Office of the State Treasurer** stated the proposed legislation would not appear to have a fiscal impact on the on their organizations.

Officials from the **Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan (MCP)** stated this bill is similar to SB 572 of this session. This bill requires medical plans to offer coverage for testing pregnant women for lead poisoning and for all testing for lead poisoning authorized in Chapter 710. Testing for lead is done through testing blood specimens. This type of test is not costly. Therefore, this bill should have a minimal, if any, impact on the MCP.

Officials from the **Office of Secretary of State (SOS)** stated this bill establishes a Childhood Lead Testing Program and Fund in the Department of Health. The DOH will promulgate rules to implement this bill. Based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations and forms issued by the DOH could require as many as 14 pages in the *Code of State Regulations*. For any given rule, roughly half again as many pages are published in the *Missouri Register* as in the Code because cost statements, fiscal notes and the like are not repeated in the Code. These costs are estimated. The estimated cost of a page in the *Missouri Register* is \$23.00. The estimated cost of a page in the *Code of State Regulations* is \$27.00. The actual cost could be more or less than the numbers given. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded or withdrawn. The SOS estimates the cost of the proposed legislation to be \$861 [(14 pgs. x \$27) + (21 pgs. x \$23)] in FY 02.

Oversight assumes the SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial costs, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process. Any decisions to raise fees to defray costs would likely be made in subsequent fiscal years.

Officials from the **Department of Insurance (INS)** stated Health Insurers and HMOs will be required to amend policy forms in order to comply with the legislation. It is anticipated that current appropriations and staff will be able to absorb the work for implementation of this single proposal. However, if additional proposals are approved during the legislative session the INS will need to request additional staff to handle the increase in workload. The INS estimates 171 health insurers and 29 HMOs will be required to file amendments to their policy form to comply with legislation resulting in revenue of \$10,000. If multiple proposals pass during the legislative session which require policy for amendments to be filed, the insurers will probably file one amendment for all required mandates. This would result in increased revenue of \$10,000 for all.

L.R. No. 2136-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 964 Page 3 of 12 May 8, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol (MHP)** stated the Department of Highway and Transportation would respond for the MHP on this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Highway and Transportation (DHT) - Division of Resource Management** stated this legislation requires health carriers to provide coverage for lead poisoning testing for pregnant women and children less than six years of age. This benefit must be covered at the same level of coverage as other covered benefits. The Department of Health in coordination with the Department of Social Services and Department of Elementary & Secondary Education is responsible for developing and providing questionnaires for every child to be assessed within six months of birth and annually until the child is six years of age to determine whether a child is at high risk for lead poisoning.

If the questionnaire indicates that a child is at high risk for lead poisoning the child shall be tested at least once every six months between the ages of six months and three years of age and then annually between the ages of three years and six years. In addition, any child to be considered at high risk and resides in housing currently undergoing renovations shall be tested at least once every three months during the renovation and once after the completion of the renovation. Children that are not at high risk for lead poisoning shall be tested once at the age of twelve months and once at two years of age. Any child not tested by the age of five years shall be tested at least once before the age of six years. The tests for lead poisoning shall consist of a blood sample that shall be sent to a state-licensed laboratory for analysis.

To determine the fiscal impact of providing the coverage for pregnant women, the DHT found that over the past three years the Medical Plan has had an average of 1,520 pregnancies per year and Westport Benefits, the DHT's third party administrator, provided the usual and customary rate (UCR) for the lead poisoning screening and specimen collection. The CPT codes the DHT used are 83645 for the screening and 36415 for the specimen collection. The average UCR, using the rates for Jefferson City and St. Louis, are \$32 for the screening and \$14 for the specimen collection. The DHT assumes that this test would be part of a woman's prenatal care and no office visit charge would be necessary. The DHT also assumes that the women have met their deductibles and maximum out of pocket benefits. Therefore, the total fiscal impact to the Medical Plan for the lead poisoning testing of pregnant women would be approximately \$69,920 per year [1,520 pregnancies x (\$32/screening + \$14/specimen collection)].

To determine the fiscal impact of providing the coverage for children, the DHT had to determine how many of the children in DHT's plan would be at high risk for lead poisoning. The DOH provided information that they used in preparing their fiscal impact to this legislation. The DOH calculated this by five groups within the state. Those groups are St. Louis City, St. Louis County, Jackson County/Kansas City, Greene County/Springfield and Outstate (all other health

Page 4 of 12 May 8, 2001

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

jurisdictions). The DOH then designated a high risk factor based on population density, old housing, poverty and current elevated blood lead (EBL) to each of these groups. The risk factors for each group are: St. Louis City = 4, Jackson County/Kansas City = 2 and St. Louis County, Greene County/Springfield and Outstate = 1. The risk factors were then assigned a percentage rate where the percentage of total children in the group were determined as high risk. The risk factors are 5 = 100%, 4 = 80%, 3 = 60%, 2 = 40% and 1 = 20%. The DOH also used 1990 census data, adjusted for 1999, to determine the number of children in each group.

The cens us data from DOH showed 38,034 children in St. Louis, 84,088 in St. Louis County, 58,427 in Jackson County/Kansas City, 16,111 in Greene County/Springfield and 251,233 in Outstate. Therefore, the total population of children under the age of six was 447,893.

For purposes of this fiscal note, the DHT is going to use the percentage of children in each group to the total number of children statewide provide by the DOH to determine the demographics of the Medical Plan's children. Following are those percentages: St. Louis City = 8.5% (38,304/447,893), St. Louis County = 18.8% (84,088/447,893), Jackson County/Kansas City = 13% (58,427/447,893), Greene County/Springfield = 3.6% (16,111/447,893), and Outstate = 56.1% (251,233/447,893). Westport Benefits provided the current number of children in the Medical Plan. Currently the medical plan has 255 children under the age of 1; 259 under the age of 2; 276 under the age of 3; 268 under the age of 4; 258 under the age of 5; and, 260 under the age of 6. Based on this information, the following was determined:

	# in	# in	# in Jack	# in Greene/		
Ages	STL City	STL Co.	Co./K.C.	Spgfld.	# in OS	Total
<1	22	48	33	9	143	255
<2	22	49	34	9	145	259
<3	23	52	36	10	155	276
<4	23	50	35	10	150	268
<5	22	49	34	8	145	258
<6	<u>22 </u>	<u>49</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>146</u>	<u> 260</u>
Total	134	297	206	55	884	1576

Corrected table information for the number of children at high risk in each group is as follows:

	# in	# in	# in Jack	# in Greene	./			Total #
<u>Ages</u>	STL City	STL Co.	Co./KC	<u>Spgfld</u>	# in OS	<u>Total</u>	# of Tests	of Tests
<1	22	0	0	0	16	38	1	38
<2	22	0	0	0	16	38	1	38
<3	23	0	0	0	17	40	1	40
<4	23	0	0	0	17	40	1	40
< 5	22	0	0	0	16	38	1	38
<6	<u>22</u>	0	_0	<u>0</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>38</u>	1	<u>38</u>
Total	134	0	0	0	98	232		232

Page 5 of 12 May 8, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The number of children not at high risk is as follows:

	<u># in</u>	<u># in</u>	# in Jack	# in Greene/	<u>/</u>			Total #
Ages	STL.City	STL Co.	Co./KC	<u>Spgfld</u>	# in OS	<u>Total</u>	# of Tests	of Tests
<1	0	48	33	9	127	217	1	217
<2	0	49	34	9	129	221	1	221
<3	0	52	36	10	138	236	0	0
<4	0	50	35	10	133	228	0	0
< 5	0	49	34	8	129	220	0	0
<6	<u>0</u>	<u>49</u>	34	<u>9</u>	<u>130</u>	<u>222</u>	0	<u>0</u>
Total	0	297	206	55	786	1,344		438

The Department of Health also determined that 5% of children at high risk will be living in a home being renovated. The DHT assumed the renovation period would be one year. The legislation would require these children to be tested every three months during the renovation period. Depending on the age of the child at the time of the renovation they could have an additional two or three tests per child. Taking the average, the DHT assumes this will result in 2.5 additional tests. The number of children at high risk and living in a home being renovated is approximately 22 (438 x .05), resulting in an additional 55 (22 x 2.5) tests. The total number of tests that the Medical Plan would be responsible for covering the first or second year is approximately 1,779 (586+1,138+55) tests while the third and subsequent years will be approximately 1,012 (586+371+55).

The number of tests required for children that are not high risk for lead poisoning in this legislation differs from what was stated in SB 572. Although the number of tests decreases, it also has provisions for catching up those children that are between the ages of two and six years of age and were not tested previously. In the first two years, all children under the age of five in the first year, will be tested a total of two times over the two year period while children over five, but under six, will only be tested once in the two year period. Therefore, after the first two years, all of the children from the first year will have their testing complete.

Westport Benefits, our third party administrator, provided the DHT the UCR for the lead poisoning screening and specimen collection. The CPT codes DHT used are 83645 for the screening and 36415 for the specimen collection. The average UCR, using the rates for Jefferson City and St. Louis, are \$32 for the screening and \$14 for the specimen collection. The DHT also assumed that there would be an office visit charge. The average office visit charge is \$62.50 per visit and the Medical Plan has a \$15 co-pay on PPO office visits. Assuming that the children have met their deductible, maximum out of pocket benefit, and are using a PPO physician, the total fiscal impact to the Medical Plan for the lead poisoning testing of children under the age of six years would be approximately \$166,337 per year [1,779 tests x (\$32/screening + \$14/specimen collection + \$62.50/office visit - \$15 co-pay)] for the first two years and \$94,622 per year [1,012 tests x (\$32/screening + \$14/specimen collection + \$62.50/office visit - \$15 co-pay)] for all subsequent years.

L.R. No. 2136-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 964 Page 6 of 12 May 8, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

The total fiscal impact to the Highway & Patrol Medical Plan is approximately \$236,257 for the first two years and \$164,542 for all subsequent years. The DHT is responsible for 75% of the Medical Plan's participants and the Patrol is responsible for 25% of the participants. Based on this information, \$177,193 of the cost in the first two years and \$123,407 each subsequent year is due to the DHT participants and \$59,064 of the costs in the first and second years and \$41,135 each subsequent year is due to Patrol participants.

Historically, the DHT and the plan members have shared in any premium increases necessary because of increases in benefits. The costs may be shared in the long run (meaning shared between three categories: absorbed by the plan, state appropriated funds, and/or costs to individuals covered under the plan). However, the DHT Commission must make a decision on what portion they will provide. Until the Commission makes a decision, the DHT can only provide the cost to the medical plan.

Officials from the **Department of Health (DOH)** stated that when the legislation was originally read, it was interpreted that the bill intended for all children to be required to be tested for lead poisoning at 12 and 24 months, and only children in high risk areas would be tested at a more frequent rate. As a result of re-reading the legislation, the testing assumptions have been changed to reflect only identified high risk areas.

Officials from the **DOH** provided the following corrected assumptions for **FN 2136-05/HCS for HB 964**:

- 1. **High Risk areas for lead poisoning** (using 1990 housing data and lead testing data)
- Local Public Health Agency (LPHA) Jurisdictions with 50% or more pre-1960 housing.
- · LPHA jurisdictions whose cities have known areas of high lead poisoning in all or part of them.
- LPHA jurisdictions where testing has demonstrated high prevalence.
- 31 public health jurisdictions were selected based on 50% or more pre-1960 housing, population size and/or testing results to date. 100%: Atchison, Barton, Buchanan, Caldwell, Carroll, Chariton, Clark, Cooper, Dade, Gentry, Grundy, Harrison, Holt Howard, Knox, Linn, Livingston, Madison, Marion, Newton, Nodaway, Pettis, Schuyler, Scotland, Shelby, St. Francois, St. Louis City, and Worth. Partially: Jasper, Kansas City and St. Louis County.

In the high risk eligible areas, such as St. Louis City and Kansas City, many of the children are Medicaid-eligible, and lead testing would already be included in their global fee.

Page 7 of 12 May 8, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- 2. Determination of numbers of children which would equal tests (because one test would be required per year between 0-6 years).
- Determined numbers of children and tests in each area.
- Subtracted from each area the amount of testing that had been done in FY2000.
- Total number of new tests would be 100,592.
- This figure does not include additional required tests for children with elevations.
- 3. Determine number of additional data entry FTEs both for state and in Local Public Health Agencies:
- Currently DOH enters the data for approximately 1/3 of the 80,000 annual tests, i.e. 26,666 by approximately 1.2 FTEs. This translates into 22,222 per 1FTE.
- The total increased test numbers and data entry need by regional groups:

Area	Data Entry	Total Test #	FTE Additional needed
St. Louis City	self	15,935	0.7
St. Louis County	self	8,364	0.4
KC/Jackson County	self KC only	30,212	1.4
Outstate	DOH	46,081	<u>2.1</u>
Total		100,592	4.6.

Therefore, 100,592 additional tests per year would require approximately 4.6 additional FTEs 2.1 at DOH (rounded to 2.0) and 2.5 at the LPHAs.

B. Additional Assumptions

Personnel Needs:

- 2 DOH Clerk Typist II will be required for the increased data entry and follow-up of lead test results.
- 1 Management Analyst Specialist II will be required to search and apply for every federal and state lead grant that becomes available. Requirements for an FTE capable of searching and preparing grant applications for lead programs requires a person able to function at a higher level. Many of the grant programs require collaboration with local agencies.

Other:

- There are currently 13,400 medical providers licensed to practice in the state of Missouri. Preparation and mailing of an **educational mailing** would cost approximately \$10,000 based on the costs of the mailing of the testing guidelines in FY 2000.
- It is difficult to determine what the costs of conducting audits of provider records would be in order to determine **physician compliance**. An estimate is that we could contract with an agency to conduct a random sample audit for \$20,000.

L.R. No. 2136-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 964 Page 8 of 12 May 8, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

Assumptions: for FN 2136-05A HCS for HB 964 State Public Health Laboratory (SPHL)

A. Number of additional laboratory tests that would be done annually at the SPHL

. Routine screening would produce an increase of 100,592 tests statewide. Of the total new tests done annually, it is assumed the SPHL would perform approximately 20% of the total. Previously, the assumption was higher, based on an estimate of the immunizations performed in local health departments. After further research, the DOH feels the 20% is a more accurate projection. This would be 20,118 tests. Follow up testing by the SPHL of those children found to have initial elevated lead level (11%) would add an additional 2,213 tests. Total increased testing for the SPHL is estimated to be 22,331 (20,118+2,213). The SPHL would perform lead testing for those children who receive lead testing services from local health departments throughout the State who are not Medicaid clients.

B. Number and expense of adding additional laboratory staffing to perform 22,331 lead tests

. The SPHL presently performs approximately 14,000 lead tests annually. Based upon current staffing the following additional staff will be required.

Public Health Lab Scientist

. Perform laboratory analysis of blood samples for lead

Clerk II

.Prepare testing kits for mailing to providers

Clerk Typist II

.Perform data entry of client information and test results plus client billing

C. Assumptions and cost of E&E required to perform additional SPHL testing

- . Laboratory equipment leasing lead testing requires specialized laboratory testing equipment. Based upon existing workload, one additional testing setup will be required. Each testing system can be leased for approximately \$25,000 per year.
- . Laboratory reagents the chemicals and other materials to perform a lead test cost approximately \$3.00 per sample tested.

L.R. No. 2136-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 964 Page 9 of 12 May 8, 2001

ASSUMPTION (continued)

- . Blood collection kits samples must be collected in special lead-free test tubes and packaged in unbreakable shipping containers. These collection kits cost \$2 per kit.
- . Transportation costs The SPHL employs a statewide courier to pickup and deliver laboratory samples. This is much less expensive than using mail services because of Federal laboratory specimen mailing regulations. The increased cost to extend the statewide courier contract to all local health departments, will average \$3 per sample collected.

D. Assumptions regarding fees

Authority currently exists for DOH to charge fees now for lead testing, but the department does not do so. It has been a struggle to get children tested for lead. Charging a fee will put up yet another barrier, which will prevent children from being tested. The language is permissive regarding fees, not mandatory.

Oversight assumes that the DOH would implement a fee to help cover the cost of the lead tests. The estimated revenue to the Childhood Lead Fund is unknown.

Page 10 of 12 May 8, 2001

NET ESTIMATED EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS * * Revenues and expenses unknown but e	<u>\$0</u> xceeding \$60,000	\$0 annually and ne	<u>\$0</u> t to \$0.
Costs - Department of Social Services - Division of Medical Services Medical Assistance Payments	(Unknown over \$60,000)	(<u>Unknown over</u> \$60,000)	(<u>Unknown over</u> \$60,000)
FEDERAL FUNDS Income - Department of Social Services - Division of Medical Services Medical Assistance Payments	<u>Unknown over</u> \$60,000	<u>Unknown over</u> \$60,000	<u>Unknown over</u> \$60,000
NET ESTIMATED EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>Unknown over</u> (\$534,053)	<u>Unknown over</u> (\$609,177)	<u>Unknown over</u> (\$624,856)
Costs - Department of Social Services - Division of Medical Services Medical Assistance Payments	(Unknown over \$40,000)	(Unknown over \$40,000)	(Unknown over \$40,000)
Equipment and Expenses Total <u>Costs</u> - Department of Health	(\$266,891) (\$494,053)	(\$289,768) (\$569,177)	(\$298,462) (\$584,856)
# Costs - Department of Health Personal Services (7 FTE) Fringe Benefits	(\$170,376) (\$56,786)	(\$209,562) (\$69,847)	(\$214,801) (\$71,593)
NET ESTIMATED EFFECT ON CHILDHOOD LEAD FUND	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
CHILDHOOD LEAD FUND Income - Department of Health Fees to Defray Testing Costs	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
NET ESTIMATED EFFECT ON INSURANCE DEDICATION FUND	<u>\$10,000</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
INSURANCE DEDICATED FUND Income - Department of Insurance Filing Fees	\$10,000	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004

Page 11 of 12 May 8, 2001

FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
PUBLIC HEALTH AGENCIES			
Personal Service Costs, Fringe Benefits,	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>	<u>Unknown</u>
and Equipment and Expense	<u>exceeding</u>	<u>exceeding</u>	<u>exceeding</u>
	(\$100,000)	<u>(\$100,000)</u>	<u>(\$100,000)</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Increased screening would potentially lead to the identification of more children with elevated blood lead levels, which could lead to the abatement of more lead hazards. This may increase business for small lead abatement contractors and possible also affect medical providers. Estimated fiscal impact is unknown.

DESCRIPTION

This act requires insurance companies to offer coverage for testing pregnant women and for children under the age of 6 for lead poisoning. This act requires the Director of the Department of Health to inform local boards of health when a case of lead poisoning is reported to the director. Health care professionals and health care organizations are required to report positive lead poisoning cases.

Beginning January 1, 2002, the Department of Health must implement a childhood lead testing program to test children under the age of 6 for lead poisoning. The test shall consist of a blood sample which must be sent to a state-licensed laboratory for analysis. Children less than six years of age who are not deemed high risk are to be tested once at the age of twelve months and once at two years of age. Any child that is not tested at twelve and twenty-four months of age shall be tested at least twice before the child's sixth birthday and any child at least five years of age but less than six who has not been tested, shall be tested once before the child's sixth birthday.

The Department of Health shall identify geographic areas in the state that are at high risk for lead poisoning. All children six months of age through six years who reside or spend more than 10 hours a week in an area identified as high risk shall be tested annually for lead poisoning. Any child who tests positive for lead poisoning shall receive follow-up testing, in accordance with guidelines and criteria established by the American Academy of Pediatrics, at the priority intervals and using the methods specified in such guidelines.

The Department of Health, in coordination with the Department of Social Services and the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education, shall develop and provide questionnaires for every child not identified as high risk to be assessed within six months of birth and at least once a year thereafter until the child is six years of age. The questionnaire shall follow the recommendations of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

L.R. No. 2136-05 Bill No. HCS for HB 964 Page 12 of 12 May 8, 2001

DESCRIPTION (continued)

The Department of Health is to promulgate rules to identify pregnant women who may be at high risk for exposure to lead poisoning. The Department of Health is required to develop an educational mailing list to be sent to physicians informing them of the childhood lead testing program.

The Department of Health is required to convene a task force to investigate the imposition of a fee on manufacturers of products containing lead. Fees collected from such manufacturers shall be deposited in the Childhood Lead Testing Fund.

Beginning January 1, 2003, and every year thereafter, the Department of Health is required to submit a report evaluating physician compliance with the act to the General Assembly.

The act requires child care facilities to require a child's parent to provide evidence of lead poisoning testing. If the parent fails to submit evidence of lead poisoning testing, the facility is required to inform the parent of the issue and where the parent can obtain testing for the child.

This act creates the Childhood Lead Fund. The fund is to be used to fund the administration of the childhood lead programs.

This legislation is not federally mandated and would not duplicate any other program.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Health
Missouri Consolidated Health Care Plan
Department of Conservation
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Treasurer
Department of Social Services
Department of Insurance
Department of Highway and Transportation
Missouri Highway Patrol

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA Director

May 8, 2001